

# $\mathcal{A}$ -MANIFOLDS ON A PRINCIPAL TORUS BUNDLE OVER AN $\mathcal{A}$ -MANIFOLD BASE

GRZEGORZ ZBOROWSKI

ABSTRACT. We construct new examples of manifolds with cyclic-parallel Ricci tensor, so called  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds, on a  $r$ -torus bundle over a product of almost Hodge  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most extensively studied objects in mathematics and physics are Einstein manifolds (see for example [Be]), i.e. manifolds whose Ricci tensor is a constant multiple of the metric tensor. In his work [Gra] A. Gray defined a condition which generalize the concept of an Einstein manifold. This condition states that the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}$  of the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is cyclic parallel, i.e.

$$\nabla_X \text{Ric}(Y, Z) + \nabla_Y \text{Ric}(Z, X) + \nabla_Z \text{Ric}(X, Y) = 0,$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the metric  $g$  and  $X, Y, Z$  are arbitrary vector fields on  $M$ . A Riemannian manifold satisfying this condition is called an  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold. It is obvious that if the Ricci tensor of  $(M, g)$  is parallel, then it satisfies the above condition. On the other hand if  $\text{Ric}$  is cyclic-parallel, but not parallel then we call  $(M, g)$  a strict  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold. A. Gray gave in [Gra] first example of such strict  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold, which was the sphere  $S^3$  with appropriately defined homogeneous metric. A first example of a non-homogeneous  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold was given in [Jel1]. This example is a  $S^1$ -bundle over some Kähler-Einstein manifold. This result was generalized in [Jel2] to K-contact manifolds. Namely, over every almost Hodge  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold with  $J$ -invariant Ricci tensor we can construct a Riemannian metric such that the total space of the bundle is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold. In the present paper we take a next step in the generalization process and we prove that there exist an  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold structure on every  $r$ -torus bundle over product of almost Hodge  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds. Our result and that of Jelonek are based on the existence of almost Hodge  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds, which was proven in [Jel3].

## 2. CONFORMAL KILLING TENSORS

Let  $(M, g)$  be any Riemannian manifold. We call a symmetric tensor field of type  $(0, 2)$  on  $M$  a *conformal Killing tensor* field iff there exists a 1-form  $P$  such

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25.

The author would like to thank prof. W. Jelonek.

that for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$(1) \quad \nabla_X K(X, X) = P(X)g(X, X),$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . The above condition is clearly equivalent to the following

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C}_{X,Y,Z} \nabla_X K(Y, Z) = \mathcal{C}_{X,Y,Z} P(X)g(Y, Z)$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$  where  $\mathcal{C}_{X,Y,Z}$  denotes the cyclic sum over  $X, Y, Z$ . It is easy to prove that the 1-form  $P$  is given by

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{n+2} (2\operatorname{div} K(X) + d \operatorname{tr} K(X)),$$

where  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $\operatorname{div} S$  and  $\operatorname{tr} S$  are the divergence and trace of the tensor field  $S$  with respect to  $g$ .

If the 1-form  $P$  vanishes, then we call  $K$  a *Killing tensor*. Of particular interest in this work is a situation when the Ricci tensor of the metric  $g$  is a Killing tensor. We call such a manifold an  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold. In the more general situation, when the Ricci tensor is a conformal tensor we call  $(M, g)$  a  $\mathcal{AC}^1$ -manifold.

We will use a following easy property of conformal Killing tensors.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose that  $(M, g)$  is a Riemannian product of  $(M_i, g_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Moreover, let  $K_i$  be conformal tensors on  $(M_i, g_i)$ . Then  $K = K_1 + K_2$  is a conformal tensor for  $(M, g)$ .*

A *conformal Killing form* or a *twistor form* is a differential  $p$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $(M, g)$  satisfying the following equation

$$(3) \quad \nabla_X \varphi = \frac{1}{p+1} X \lrcorner d\varphi - \frac{1}{n-p+1} X \wedge \delta\varphi.$$

An extensive description of conformal Killing forms can be found in a series of articles by Semmelmann and Moroianu ([Sem],[S-M]).

It is known that if  $\varphi$  is a co-closed conformal Killing form (also called a *Killing form*) then the  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field  $K_\varphi$  defined by

$$K_\varphi(X, Y) = g(X \lrcorner \varphi, Y \lrcorner \varphi)$$

is a Killing tensor.

We can prove even more.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be conformal Killing  $p$ -forms. Then the tensor field  $K_{\varphi, \psi}$  defined by*

$$K_{\varphi, \psi} = g(X \lrcorner \varphi, Y \lrcorner \psi) + g(Y \lrcorner \varphi, X \lrcorner \psi)$$

*is a conformal Killing tensor field.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any vector field and  $\varphi, \psi$  conformal Killing  $p$ -forms. We will check that  $K_{\varphi, \psi}$  as defined above satisfies (1).

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X K_{\varphi, \psi}(X, X) &= 2X(g(X \lrcorner \varphi, X \lrcorner \psi)) - 2g(\nabla_X X \lrcorner \varphi, X \lrcorner \psi) \\ &\quad - 2g(\nabla_X X \lrcorner \psi, X \lrcorner \varphi) \\ &= 2g(\nabla_X(X \lrcorner \varphi), X \lrcorner \psi) + 2g(X \lrcorner \varphi, \nabla_X(X \lrcorner \psi)) \\ &\quad - 2g(\nabla_X X \lrcorner \varphi, X \lrcorner \psi) - 2g(\nabla_X X \lrcorner \psi, X \lrcorner \varphi) \\ &= 2g(X \lrcorner \nabla_X \varphi, X \lrcorner \psi) + 2g(X \lrcorner \varphi, X \lrcorner \nabla_X \psi). \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that  $\varphi$  satisfies (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(X \lrcorner \nabla_X \varphi, X \lrcorner \psi) &= \frac{1}{p+1} g(X \lrcorner (X \lrcorner d\varphi), X \lrcorner \psi) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-p+1} g(X \lrcorner (X \wedge \delta\varphi), X \lrcorner \psi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-p+1} (g(X, X)g(\delta\varphi, X \lrcorner \psi) - g(X \wedge (X \lrcorner \delta\varphi), X \lrcorner \psi)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-p+1} g(X, X)g(\delta\varphi, X \lrcorner \psi). \end{aligned}$$

The same is valid for  $\psi$  with

$$g(X \lrcorner \nabla_X \psi, X \lrcorner \varphi) = -\frac{1}{n-p+1} g(X, X)g(\delta\psi, X \lrcorner \varphi).$$

Hence we have

$$\nabla_X K_{\varphi, \psi}(X, X) = -\frac{2}{n-p+1} g(X, X) (g(\delta\varphi, X \lrcorner \psi) + g(\delta\psi, X \lrcorner \varphi))$$

□

### 3. TORUS BUNDLES

Let  $(M, h)$  be a Riemannian manifold and suppose that  $\beta_i$  are closed 2-forms on  $M$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  such that their cohomology classes  $[\beta_i]$  are integral. In [Ko] it was proven that to each such cohomology class there corresponds a principal circle bundle  $p_i : P_i \rightarrow M$  with a connection form  $\theta_i$  such that

$$(4) \quad d\theta_i = 2\pi p_i^* \beta_i.$$

Taking the Whitney sum of bundles  $(p_i, P_i, M)$  we obtain a principal  $r$ -torus bundle  $p : P \rightarrow M$  classified by cohomology classes of  $\beta_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The connection form  $\theta$  is a vector valued 1-form with coefficients  $\theta_i$ , where  $\theta_i$  are as before. For each connection form  $\theta_i$  we define a vector field  $\xi^i$  by  $\theta_i(\xi^i) = 1$ . This vector field is just the fundamental vector field for  $\theta_i$  corresponding to 1 in the Lie algebra of  $i$ -th  $S^1$ -factor of the bundle  $(p, P, M)$ .

It is easy to check that the tensor field  $g$  given by

$$(5) \quad g = \sum_{i,j=1}^r b_{ij} \theta_i \otimes \theta_j + p^* h$$

is a Riemannian metric on  $P$  if  $[b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^r$  is some symmetric, positive definite  $r \times r$  matrix with real coefficients. This Riemannian metric makes the projection  $p : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  a Riemannian submersion (see [ON]).

**Lemma 3.** *Each vector field  $\xi^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  is Killing with respect to the metric  $g$ . Moreover, define a tensor field  $T_i$  of type  $(1, 1)$  by  $T_i X = \nabla_X \xi^i$  for  $X \in \Gamma(TP)$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . Then we have*

$$T_i \xi^j = 0, \quad L_{\xi^i} T_j = 0,$$

for  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* To prove that  $\xi^s$  is a Killing vector field for  $s = 1, \dots, r$  observe that

$$L_{\xi^s} g = \sum_{i,j=1}^r b_{ij} ((L_{\xi^s} \theta_i) \otimes \theta_j + \theta_i \otimes (L_{\xi^s} \theta_j)).$$

Hence we only have to check that  $L_{\xi^s} \theta_i = 0$  for any  $i, s = 1, \dots, r$ . Using Cartan's magic formula for Lie derivative we have

$$L_{\xi^s} \theta_i = d(\theta_i(\xi^s)) + \xi^s \lrcorner d\theta_i$$

and it is immediate that the first term is zero, since  $\theta_i(\xi^s) = \delta_i^s$ , where  $\delta_i^s$  is the Kronecker delta. For the second term we have

$$(6) \quad d\theta_i(\xi^s, X) = \xi^s(\theta_i(X)) - X(\theta_i(\xi^s)) - \theta_i([\xi^s, X]),$$

where  $X$  is arbitrary. We will consider two cases, namely when  $X$  is a horizontal or vertical vector field. In both cases the first two components vanish, hence we only have to look at the third. In the first case we notice that  $[\xi^s, X]$  is a horizontal vector field, since  $\xi^s$  is a fundamental vector field on  $P$ . This gives us the vanishing of  $\xi^s \lrcorner d\theta_i$  on horizontal vector fields. When  $X$  is vertical we can take it to be just  $\xi^k$  and we immediately see that  $[\xi^s, \xi^k] = 0$  since the fields  $\xi^j$  come from the action of a torus on  $P$ .

For the second part of the lemma observe that  $g(\xi^i, \xi^j)$  is constant. For any vector field  $X$  this gives us

$$0 = Xg(\xi^i, \xi^j) = g(\nabla_X \xi^i, \xi^j) + g(\xi^i, \nabla_X \xi^j) = -g(X, \nabla_{\xi^j} \xi^i) - g(\nabla_{\xi^i} \xi^j, X).$$

Now, since  $[\xi^i, \xi^j] = 0$  we have  $\nabla_{\xi^i} \xi^j = \nabla_{\xi^j} \xi^i$  which proves that  $T_i \xi^j = 0$ .

Recall that for any Killing vector field we have

$$L_{\xi} \nabla_X Y = \nabla_{L_{\xi} X} Y + \nabla_X (L_{\xi} Y),$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are arbitrary vector fields. In our situation we have

$$(L_{\xi^i} T_j) X = L_{\xi^i} (T_j X) - T_j (L_{\xi^i} X) = \nabla_{[\xi^i, X]} \xi^j + \nabla_X [\xi^i, \xi^j] - \nabla_{[\xi^i, X]} \xi^j = 0,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

Hence tensor fields  $T_i$  are horizontal, i.e. for each  $i$  there exists a tensor field  $\tilde{T}_i$  on  $M$  such that  $p_* \circ T_i = \tilde{T}_i \circ p_*$ .

We now compute the O'Neill tensors ([ON]) of the Riemannian submersion  $p: P \rightarrow M$ .

**Proposition 4.** *The O'Neill tensor  $T$  is zero. The O'Neill tensor  $A$  is given by*

$$(7) \quad A_E F = \sum_{i,j=1}^r b^{ij} (g(E, T_i F) \xi^j + g(\xi^i, F) T_j E),$$

where  $b^{ij}$  are the coefficients of the inverse matrix of  $[b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^r$  and  $E, F \in \Gamma(TP)$ .

Observe that from the fact that  $\theta_i(\xi^i) = 1$  for  $E \in \Gamma(TP)$  we get that

$$g(\xi^i, E) = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ij} \theta_j(E)$$

hence

$$\theta_j(E) = \sum_{i=1}^r b^{ji} g(\xi^i, E).$$

Taking the exterior differential we get

$$(8) \quad d\theta_j(E, F) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^r b^{ji} g(T_i E, F),$$

where  $E, F \in \Gamma(TP)$ .

Using formulae from [Be] Chapter 9 and the fact that the fibre of the Riemannian submersion  $(p, P, M)$  is totally geodesic and flat, we see that the Ricci tensor on the total space of Riemannian submersion is given by

$$(9) \quad \text{Ric}(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^m g(A_{E_i} U, A_{E_i} V),$$

$$(10) \quad \text{Ric}(X, U) = - \sum_{i=1}^m g((\nabla_{E_i} A)_{E_i} X, U),$$

$$(11) \quad \text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{Ric}_M(X, Y) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m g(A_X E_i, A_Y E_i).$$

Here  $E_i$  is an element of the orthonormal basis of the horizontal distribution  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\text{Ric}_M$  is a lift of the Ricci tensor of the base  $(M, h)$ ,  $X, Y$  are horizontal vector fields and  $U, V$  any vertical vector fields. Using the formula (7) for the O'Neill tensor  $A$  we can compute all components of the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}$ . We obtain

$$(12) \quad \text{Ric}(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^m g \left( \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(\xi^s, U) T_t E_i, \sum_{k,l=1}^r b^{kl} g(\xi^k, V) T_l E_i \right),$$

$$(13) \quad \text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{Ric}_M(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(T_s X, T_t Y).$$

As for the value of  $\text{Ric}(X, U)$  we compute the covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{E_i} A)_{E_i} X &= \nabla_{E_i} \left( \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(E_i, T_s X) \xi^t \right) - \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(\nabla_{E_i} E_i, T_s X) \xi^t \\ &\quad - \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} (g(E_i, T_s \nabla_{E_i} X) \xi^t + g(\xi^s, \nabla_{E_i} X) T_t E_i) \\ &= \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(E_i, (\nabla_{E_i} T_s) X) \xi^t, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $g(\xi^s, \nabla_{E_i} X) = -g(T_s E_i, X)$  which follows from  $A_X$  being anti-symmetric with respect to  $g$  for any horizontal vector field  $X$ . Now since tensors  $T_s$  are anti-symmetric with respect to  $g$  so is  $\nabla_X T_s$ , hence

$$(\nabla_{E_i} A)_{E_i} X = - \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g((\nabla_{E_i} T_s) E_i, X) \xi^t = \sum_{t=1}^r \delta d\theta_t(X) \xi^t.$$

As a result we have

$$\text{Ric}(X, U) = \sum_{t=1}^r \delta d\theta_t(X) g(\xi^t, U).$$

#### 4. TORUS BUNDLE OVER A PRODUCT OF ALMOST HODGE MANIFOLDS

Recall that an almost complex manifold is a pair  $(M, J)$  where  $M$  is a some differential manifold and  $J$  is an endomorphism of  $TM$  such that  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{TM}$ . On such manifolds we can single out particular Riemannian metrics which we call compatible with the almost complex structure  $J$ . The compatibility condition for a metric  $g$  is  $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$ . We call such metrics almost Hermitian and the triple  $(M, g, J)$  an almost Hermitian manifold. We can define a differential 2-form  $\omega$  by  $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ . We call  $\omega$  a Kähler form of  $(M, g, J)$ . If the complex structure  $J$  is integrable and the Kähler form is closed we call such complex manifold a Kähler manifold. Such manifolds are of no use in this work, since by a result of Sekigawa and Vanhecke ([S-V]) every Kähler  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold has parallel Ricci tensor.

There are however manifolds very close to being Kähler which are more suitable for us. Let  $(M, g, J)$  be an almost complex manifold with closed Kähler form. We call such manifolds *almost Kähler manifolds*. If the almost complex structure  $J$  is not integrable then  $(M, g, J)$  is sometimes called a *strictly almost Kähler manifold*. In addition to being closed the Kähler form of an almost Kähler manifold is also coclosed, hence harmonic with respect to  $g$ .

In [Jel3] Jelonek constructed a strictly almost Kähler  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold with non-parallel Ricci tensor. Moreover the Kähler form of such a manifold has a useful property. It is a constant multiple of some differential 2-form that belongs to an integral cohomology class i.e. a differential form in  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . An almost Kähler

manifold whose Kähler form satisfies this condition is called an almost Hodge manifold.

Returning to our construction suppose that  $(M_i, g_i, J_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are almost Hodge manifolds such that Kähler forms  $\omega_i$  are constant multiples of 2-forms  $\alpha_i$  and their cohomology classes are integral, i.e.  $[\alpha_i] \in H^2(M_i; \mathbb{Z})$ . Denote by  $(M, g, J)$  the product manifold with the product metric and product almost complex structure and let  $pr_i$  be the projection on the  $i$ -th factor. From our earlier discussion we know that there exists a principal  $r$ -torus bundle classified by the forms  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$  given by

$$\beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji} pr_i^* \alpha_i,$$

where  $[a_{ji}]$  is some  $r \times n$  matrix with integer coefficients. By (4) the coefficients  $\theta_j$  of the connection form of  $(p, P, M)$  satisfy

$$d\theta_j = 2\pi p^* \beta_j = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji} p^* (pr_i^* \alpha_i)$$

for every  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Since  $\alpha_i$ 's and Kähler forms  $\omega_i$  of  $(M_i, g_i, J_i)$  are connected by  $\omega_i = c_i \alpha_i$  for some constants  $c_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have

$$(14) \quad d\theta_j = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{ji}}{c_i} \omega_i^*,$$

where by  $\omega_i^*$  we denote the 2-form obtained from lifting  $\omega_i$  to  $P$ . Comparing this with (8) we get a formula for each tensor field  $\tilde{T}_i$

$$(15) \quad \tilde{T}_i X = \pi \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{c_k} J_k^* X$$

where  $J_k^*$  is the almost complex structure tensor of  $(M_k, g_k, J_k)$  lifted to the product manifold  $M$ .

We will now compute the Ricci tensor of  $(P, g)$  using (9)-(11), computations that follows those formulas and above observations. We begin with

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(U, V) &= \pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^m h \left( \sum_{s=1}^r g(\xi^s, U) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{sk}}{c_k} J_k^* E_i, \sum_{l=1}^r g(\xi^l, U) \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{a_{lh}}{c_h} J_h^* E_i \right) \\ &= \pi^2 \sum_{s,l=1}^r g(\xi^s, U) g(\xi^l, V) \sum_{i=1}^m h \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{sk}}{c_k} J_k^* E_i, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{a_{lh}}{c_h} J_h^* E_i \right) \\ &= \pi^2 \sum_{s,l=1}^r g(\xi^s, U) g(\xi^l, V) \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \left( \frac{a_{sk}}{c_k} J_k E_i, \frac{a_{lk}}{c_k} J_k E_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

We used the fact that for  $k \neq h$  images of  $J_k$  and  $J_h$  are orthogonal. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \left( \frac{a_{sk}}{c_k} J_k E_i, \frac{a_{lk}}{c_k} J_k E_i \right)$$

are constants for each  $s, l = 1, \dots, r$ . Hence the Ricci tensor of  $(P, g)$  on vertical vector fields is a symmetrized product of Killing vector fields.

Next, since the Kähler form of each almost Hodge manifold  $(M_k, g_k, J_k)$  is co-closed we see from (14) that

$$(17) \quad \text{Ric}(X, U) = 0$$

for any horizontal vector field  $X$  and vertical vector field  $U$ .

The last component of the Ricci tensor of  $(P, g)$  is the horizontal one. First observe that  $\text{Ric}_M$  is the Ricci tensor of the product metric  $h = g_1 + \dots + g_n$  and Ricci tensors  $\text{Ric}_k$  are  $J_k$ -invariant Killing tensors. We have

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $K_i$  be a Killing tensor on  $(M_i, g_i, J_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the lift  $K^*$  of  $K = K_1 + \dots + K_n$  to  $P$  is a Killing tensor iff each  $K_i$  is  $J_i$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* We need to check the cyclic sum condition (2) for different choices of vector fields. It is easy to see that if all three vector fields are vertical then each component of the cyclic sum vanishes, since  $K^*$  is non-vanishing only on horizontal vector fields. If only two of the vector fields are vertical then again all components vanish, since  $\nabla_{\xi^i} \xi^j = 0$ . For three horizontal vector fields we again see that the cyclic sum vanish, since the covariant derivative of  $K^*$  with respect to metric  $g$  on  $P$  is the same as that of  $K$  with respect to the product metric  $h$  on  $M$ . By Proposition 1  $K$  is a Killing tensor for  $(M, h)$ . The remaining case is when only one vector field is vertical. Let us put  $Z = \xi^i$  and  $X, Y$  be basic horizontal vector fields. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\xi^i} K^*(X, Y) &= -K^*(\nabla_{\xi^i} X, Y) - K^*(X, \nabla_{\xi^i} Y) = -K^*(A_X \xi^i, Y) - K^*(X, A_Y \xi^i) \\ &= -K^*(\nabla_X \xi^i, Y) - K^*(X, \nabla_Y \xi^i), \end{aligned}$$

where the before last equality is due to the fact that  $X$  and  $Y$  are basic (see [ON]) and the last one follows from the definition of the O'Neill tensor  $A$ . Next we have

$$\nabla_X K^*(\xi^i, Y) = -K^*(\nabla_X \xi^i, Y).$$

Summing up we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\xi^i, X, Y} \nabla_{\xi^i} K^*(X, Y) &= -2 \left( K^*(\nabla_X \xi^i, Y) + K^*(X, \nabla_Y \xi^i) \right) \\ &= -2 \left( K(\tilde{T}_i X, Y) + K(X, \tilde{T}_i Y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the formula (15) for the tensor  $\tilde{T}_i$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\xi^i, X, Y} \nabla_{\xi^i} K^*(X, Y) = -2\pi \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{c_k} (K(J_k^* X, Y) + K(X, J_k^* Y)).$$

Since each  $J_i$  projects vector fields on  $TM_k$  we see from the definition of  $K$  that

$$K(J_k^* X, Y) + K(X, J_k^* Y) = K_k(J_k X, Y) + K_k(X, J_k Y).$$

By  $J_k$ -invariance of  $K_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  we have completed the proof.  $\square$



**Remark.** It is worth noting, that we cannot lift in that way a conformal Killing tensor with non-vanishing  $P$ . In fact taking three vertical vector fields we see that  $P$  vanishes on vertical distribution. On the other hand for two vertical vector fields  $U, V$  and one horizontal vector field  $X$  the left-hand side of (2) vanish and the right-hand side reads  $P(X)g(U, V)$ , hence  $P$  has to vanish also on the horizontal distribution.

**Corollary 1.** An  $r$ -torus bundle with metric defined by (5) can not be an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold. Especially there are no  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$  structures on K-contact and Sasakian manifolds.

Next we show that the second component of the horizontal part of the Ricci tensor (13) is just a sum of lifts of metrics  $g_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

$$\sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(T_s X, T_t Y) = \pi^2 \sum_{s,t=1}^r h \left( \sum_{j=1}^r b_{sj} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{c_k} J_k^* X, \sum_{i=1}^r b_{ti} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{a_{il}}{c_l} J_l^* Y \right).$$

Since  $J_k$  and  $J_l$  are orthogonal for different  $k, l = 1, \dots, n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(T_s X, T_t Y) &= \pi^2 \sum_{s,t=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^n h \left( \sum_{j=1}^r b_{sj} \frac{a_{jk}}{c_k} J_k^* X, \sum_{i=1}^r b_{ti} \frac{a_{ik}}{c_k} J_k^* Y \right) \\ &= \pi^2 \sum_{j,l=1}^r b_{jl} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk} a_{lk}}{c_k^2} g_k(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

From the above Theorem we infer that, since a Riemannian metric is a Killing tensor and each  $g_k$  is  $J_k$ -invariant, the tensor field  $K(X, Y) = \sum_{s,t=1}^r b^{st} g(T_s X, T_t Y)$  is a Killing tensor field.

Now we can prove the following theorem

**Theorem 6.** Let  $P$  be a  $r$ -torus bundle over a Riemannian product  $(M, h)$  of almost Hodge  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds  $(M_k, g_k, J_k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  with metric  $g$  defined by (5). Then  $(P, g)$  is itself an  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold.

*Proof.* Since distributions  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are orthogonal with respect to the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}$  of  $(P, g)$  by (17) we can write it as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(E, F) &= \pi^2 \sum_{s,l=1}^r g(\xi^s, E) g(\xi^l, F) \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \left( \frac{a_{sk}}{c_k} J_k E_i, \frac{a_{lk}}{c_k} J_k E_i \right) \\ &\quad + \text{Ric}_M(E, F) - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 \sum_{j,l=1}^r b_{jl} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk} a_{lk}}{c_k^2} g_k(E, F) \end{aligned}$$

using (18) and (16). The first component is a Killing tensor as a symmetrized product of Killing vector fields by Theorem 2. The second and third components are Killing tensors by Theorem 5. Since a sum of Killing tensors with constant coefficients is again a Killing tensor we have proved the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark.** Observe that if at least one of the manifolds  $(M_k, g_k)$  has non-parallel Ricci tensor, then the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}$  of  $(P, g)$  is also non-parallel with respect to the metric  $g$ . Thus we have constructed a large number of strict  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds.

## REFERENCES

- [Be] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (1987).
- [Gra] A. Gray, *Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein*, *Geom. Dedicata* 7 (1978), 259–280.
- [Jel1] W. Jelonek, *On  $\mathcal{A}$ -tensors in Riemannian geometry*, preprint PAN, 551, 1995.
- [Jel2] W. Jelonek, *K-contact  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifolds*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* (1) 75 (1998), 97–103.
- [Jel3] W. Jelonek, *Almost Kähler  $\mathcal{A}$ -structures on twistor bundles*, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 17 (1999), 329–339.
- [Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional toroidal group*, *Tohoku Math. J.* 8 (1956), 29–45.
- [S-M] A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann, *Twistor forms on Kähler manifolds*, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* 2 (2003), 823–845.
- [ON] B. O’Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, *Michigan Math. J.* 13 (1966), 459–469.
- [PT] H. Pedersen, P. Todd, *The Ledger curvature conditions and D’Atri geometry*, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 11 (1999), 155–162.
- [S-V] K. Sekigawa, L. Vanhecke, *Symplectic geodesic symmetries on Kähler manifolds*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 37 (1986), 95–103.
- [Sem] U. Semmelmann, *Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds*, arXiv:math/0206117.
- [W-Z] M. Y. Wang, W. Ziller, *Einstein metrics on torus bundles*, *J. Differential Geom.* 31 (1990), 215–248.

CRACOW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WARSZAWSKA 24, 31-155 KRAKÓW, POLAND

UNIVERSITY OF MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA, PL. MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 5, 20-035 LUBLIN, POLAND

*E-mail address:* gzborski@pk.edu.pl